1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	TIMOTHY JOHNSON,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 11-cv-05511 JRC
12	v.	ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES
13 14	CAROLYN W COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration, ¹	PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)
15	Defendant.	
16	This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 l	U.S.C. § 636(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and Local
17	Magistrate Judge Rule MJR 13 (see also Notice of	Initial Assignment to a U.S. Magistrate Judge
18 19	and Consent Form, Dkt. 8; Consent to Proceed Be	fore a United States Magistrate Judge, Dkt.
20	10). This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's U	Jnopposed Motion for Attorney's Fees
21	Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) (see Dkt. 28).	
22		
23	¹ Carolyn W. Colvin became the Acting Co	
24	Administration on February 14, 2013. Pursuant to Procedure, Carolyn W. Colvin is substituted for M	

1	The Court may allow a reasonable fee for an attorney who represented a Social Security
2	Title II claimant before the Court and obtained a favorable judgment, as long as such fee is not in
3	excess of 25 percent of the total of past-due benefits. See 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1); Grisbrecht v.
4	Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789 (2002). When a contingency agreement applies, the Court will look first
5	to such agreement and will conduct an independent review to assure the reasonableness of the
6	fee requested, taking into consideration the character of the representation and results achieved.
7	See Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 807, 808 (footnote omitted) (citations omitted). Although the
8	fee agreement is the primary means for determining the fee, the Court will adjust the fee
9	downward if substandard representation was provided, if the attorney caused excessive delay, or
10	if a windfall would result from the requested fee. See Crawford v. Astrue, 586 F.3d 1142, 1151
11	(9th Cir. 2009) (citing Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 808).
12	Here, the representation was standard, at least, and the results achieved excellent (see
13	Dkt. 28, Attachments 3, 4). See Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 808. Defendant stipulated to
14	remand the matter subsequent to plaintiff's filing of his Opening Brief, and following a second
15	hearing and remand by the Appeals Counsel, the Administrative Law Judge awarded benefits to
16	plaintiff (see Dkt. 28, Attachment 4). There has not been excessive delay and no windfall will
17	result from the requested fee.
18	Plaintiff's total back payment was \$72,212.00 (see Dkt. 28, Attachment 3). Plaintiff has
19	moved for a gross attorney's fee of \$12,052.00, an amount less than 25% of the back payment
20	(see Motion, Dkt. 28, p. 1). This Court has previously awarded \$4,631.36 to counsel for EAJA
21	fees (see Dkt. 27) and counsel agrees to refund this amount to plaintiff on receipt of the 42
22	U.S.C. § 406(b) fees awarded (see Dkt. 28, p. 1). Parish v. Comm'r. Soc. Sec. Admin., 698 F.3d
23	1215, 1221 (9th Cir. 2012).
24	

1	Based on plaintiff's unopposed motion and supporting documents (see Dkt. 28,
2	Attachments 2, 3, 4, 5), it is hereby ORDERED that attorney's fees in the amount of \$12,052.00
3	be awarded to plaintiff's attorney pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). Following receipt of the
4	406(b) fee, plaintiff's attorney shall refund to plaintiff the previously awarded EAJA fees in the
5	amount of \$4,631.36.
6	Dated this 14th day of November, 2016.
7	I March Completion
8	J. Richard Creatura
9	United States Magistrate Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	